

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/934,806	08/22/2001	Herman Uytterhoeven	212967	8829	
23460	7590 01/16/2004	EXAMINER			
	IT & MAYER, LTD NTIAL PLAZA, SUITE	CHEA, THORL			
	TETSON AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO, II	L 60601-6780		1752		
			DATE MAILED: 01/16/2004	ļ	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Application No. Application Applicat							U^{\dagger}			
Examiner The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of FTHIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time rapy he addable under the previousne of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In rowert, however, may a reply be timely filled If the period for exply scelable above, the noammun statutory period will apply whith the statutory minimum of thinly (30) eyesy will be considered timely. If the period for exply scelable above, the noammun statutory period will apply and will again \$20,00 HOCNTHS from the realiting ditter of the communication of the period of the communication of	* **		-	Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Therefore the second process of the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Bear State for the interrupt be ambient by provided and of SCRT 1.186(a). In the send, however, may a reply be limitely filled Bear State for the interrupt by a bridge that the provide of SCRT 1.186(a). In the send, however, may a reply be limitely filled Bear State for the provided above is less than think (30) days, a reply writin the statutory minimum of thinky (30) sept will be constained timely, If the period for reply specified above is less than think (30) days, a reply writin the statutory minimum of thinky (30) sept will be constained interly, If the period for reply specified above is less than think (30) days, a reply writin the statutory minimum of thinky (30) sept will be constained interly, If the period for reply specified above is less than think (30) days, a reply writin the statutory minimum of thinky (30) sept will be constained interly, If the period for reply specified above is less than think (30) days, a reply writin the statutory minimum of thinky (30) sept will be constained interly, If the period for reply specified above is less than think (30) days, a reply writin the statutory minimum of think (30) sept will be constained interly, If the period for reply specified is the communication. Status Status Status Status Status Status A policit this application is in condition for allowence except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	Office Asticus Occurs		09/934,80	06	UYTTERHOEVEN ET AL.					
The MAILNG DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address → Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of the major be available inder the previous of 37 CFR 1.365(a). In or event, however, may a ruply be limely tilled Extension of the major be available inder the previous of 37 CFR 1.365(a). In or event, however, may a ruply be limely tilled Extension of the prior by specified store is less than thiny (30) days, a reply within the stabutory minimum of thiny (30) says, will be considered timely. If the period for reply is specified store, the macerimum statutory period will be placed for reply will be added from communication. If the period for reply is specified above, the macerimum statutory period will apply and will expert 8 (b) (MONTRS from the malling date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above, the macerimum statutory period will be adopted and the communication. Provided the provided in the provided of the provided of the communication. This action is FIRAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Queyle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G., 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are vibration and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a cla		Oπice Action Summary		Examine	-	Art Unit				
Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extension of time rays be available under the provisions of 30 CPR 1.19(a), in no event, however, may a repty be timely time. The provision of the		7, 111, 110, 110, 110, 110, 110, 110, 11		l						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Editestors of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CPR 1.136(a). In or event, however, may a reply be limitly filed after SN (8) MCNTPS from the mailing date of this communication. I NO precise from the provision of the mailing date of this communication. I NO precise from the provision of	Period fo									
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 November 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 5.6.11.12 and 14-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5.6.11.12 and 14-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 7-10.13 and 18 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 7-10.13 and 18 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 7-10.13 and 19 is/are objected to. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cocepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application na Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of Reference	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any									
2e) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 5.6.11.12 and 11 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 5.6.11.12 and 14:16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 7-10.13 and 18 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 5.6.11.12 and 18 is/are objected to. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 4pplicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) Note of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 3 Chancowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of References Cited (PTO-152)				, ,						
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 5.6.11.12 and 14.16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 7-10.13 and 18 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified decipies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-982) 2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-982)										
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 5.6.11.12 and 14-16 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 7-10.13 and 18 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 11 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.	,	,—								
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-4 and 17 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 5.6.11.12 and 14-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5.6.11.12 and 14-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 7-10.13 and 18 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some one of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 1-4 and 17 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 5.6.11.12 and 14-16 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 5.6.11.12 and 18 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposit	ion of Claims								
5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 17 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 5.6.11.12 and 14-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 7-10.13 and 18 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 7-10.13 and 18 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) — are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17, Z(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.										
6) Claim(s) 5.6.11.12 and 14-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 7-10.13 and 18 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.										
7) Claim(s) 7-10.13 and 18 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>									
8										
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		•		election r	equirement					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			onor arrayor	Ologuon I	oquii omonii.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		•	ne Evaminer							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•				objected to by the F	yaminer				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)										
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) △ All b) ─ Some * c) ─ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ─ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ─ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ─ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) ─ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ─ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) △ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)										
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	_									
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific 									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment	t(s)								
	1) Notice 2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F			5) Notice of Informal Pa					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03)

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Claims 8, 15 are objected to because of the following informalities: step (1) in claim 8 is referred to "third aqueous dispersion of claim 1" is not proper since claims 8, 15 are considered as an independent claim; therefore, the limitation of "third aqueous dispersion should be used. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5-6, 11-12, 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Gilliams et al (Gilliams).

Application/Control Number: 09/934,806

Art Unit: 1752

Gilliam discloses an aqueous dispersion containing silver salt of aliphatic carboxylic acid and silver halide in column 17, example 3; column 17, example 6; in column 21, example 19; and column 4, lines 23-28 and in column 9, lines 21-40. Gilliam in column 15, Examples 1 discloses the use sodium hydroxide to provide the aqueous solution to pH of 8.7 and in column 17; Example 2 discloses the formation of silver halide in-situe using the conversion of silver behenate. The aqueous solution in Example 2, column 17 contains 0.079 moles and 0.022 mole of silver halide.

The aqueous solution claimed in the present claimed invention and that taught in Gilliams are identical, except that composition of the claimed invention contains ex-situ silver halide whereas silver halide taught in Gilliam is made by in-situe process, but the pH of the composition are the same. Accordingly, it is asserted that the composition as claimed is either anticipated or found obvious over Gilliam. Moreover, the invention as claimed is related to the claiming of a material by a process. "(E)ven though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same or obvious from a product of prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior art product was made by different process." In re Thorpe 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 694, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

5. Claims 5-6, 11-12, 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Letental et al ('537). The '537 discloses an aqueous composition containing silver halide and silver salt of long chain fatty acid such as silver behenate, except the process of forming thereof such as raising pH to at least 8 claimed in

Application/Control Number: 09/934,806

Art Unit: 1752

the present claimed invention. However, the claimed invention id directed to the claiming of a material by a process, and the processing steps fails to differentiate the claimed material form the teaching of the prior art. Therefore, the examiner asserts that the claimed invention is either anticipated by or in the alternaive found obvious to the worker of ordinary skill in the art. "(E)ven though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same or obvious from a product of prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior art product was made by different process." In re Thorpe 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 694, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed November 17, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because the reason set forth in the final office action on August 2003 and the rejection set forth in paragraph 7 above. Examples 19-20 in column 21 discloses an aqueous dispersion containing silver behenate and silver bromoiodide within the scope of the composition presented in the claimed invention. The applicants'argument is related to the processing steps rather than the composition thereof. The present the processing steps such as at least 8 does not necessarily mean that the final composition or the material using that composition having different pH from that taught in the applied prior art of record. The processing steps contains the open-ending language such as "comprising" does not exclude any other taught in the applied prior art of record such as step of neutralizing the acid or base. The applicant fails to provide a convincing evidence as to why the composition and the claimed material are different from that

Application/Control Number: 09/934,806

Art Unit: 1752

of the applied prior art. The improvement of results may be inherent from the material of the

Page 5

prior art of record, and cannot be used to rebut the rejection under 35 USC 102(b/e) set forth

above. "(E)vidence of secondary considerations, such as unexpected results or commercial

success, is irrelevant to 35 U.S.C 102 rejections and thus cannot overcome a rejection so based.

In re Wiggins, 488 F.2d 538, 543, 179 USPQ 421, 425 (CCPA 1973).

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Thorl Chea whose telephone number is (571)272-1328. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00 - 5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mark F. Huff can be reached on (571)272-1385. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

tchea \sqrt{M} January 12, 2004

Thorl Chea

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1752